

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,349 | 04/21/2004 | Barry Ira Geller | 50214-053 | 6700 |
| 7590 . 09/18/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W. | | | ROSEN, NICHOLAS D | |
| Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3625 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/828,349 | GELLER, BARRY IRA | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Nicholas D. Rosen | 3625 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be stated that the period for reply will be stated that the period for reply will be stated to the period for | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The sum of the sum o | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-52 and 54-68 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) 1-52 and 54-68 are subject to restrict is/are object. | rawn from consideration. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the second | ccepted or b) objected to by the Ine drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/828,349

Art Unit: 3625

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, 8-12, 13-31 and 34-36, and 32-33, drawn to an electronically virtualized server, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- II. Claims 37-39 and 54-58, drawn to generating a profile of a user's interactions with an interactive media site, classified in class 705, subclass 500.
- III. Claims 40-45, drawn to updating an interactive media site, classified in class 709, subclass 219.
- IV. Claims 46-52, 59-60, and 61-64, drawn to selecting/providing advertising based on a user's profile, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
- V. Claims 65-68, drawn to placing an order for an item, classified in class705, subclass 27.

(There is no claim 53.)

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II through V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as letting a user search content and obtain results on a virtualized web browser. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,349

Art Unit: 3625

Inventions II and I, III, IV, and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as recording a user's interactions with a site, which might be applied to such disparate purposes as providing targeted advertisements to the user, charging him for his usage of the site, or determining whether he is to be subjected to heightened surveillance as a terrorist suspect. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and I, II, IV, and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility, such as providing an interactive media site with updated news, sports scores, etc. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and I, II, III, and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility, such as providing advertisements more likely to result in profitable sales. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and I through IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not

Application/Control Number: 10/828,349

Art Unit: 3625

overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility, such as enabling a user to readily order a desired product from an ecommerce website, real or virtualized. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3625

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to attorney Daniel Bucca, Ph.D., on September 13, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,349 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas D. Rosen, whose telephone number is 571-272-6762. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith, can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Non-official/draft communications can be faxed to the examiner at 571-273-6762.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nicholas D. Rosen NICHOLAS D. ROSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER September 14, 2006